UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,146	01/17/2002	Bernhard Hauer	50915	6323
	7590 12/28/201 CE DELUCA + QUIG	EXAMINER		
300 NEW JERS	SEY AVENUE NW	PAK, YONG D		
FIFTH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/031,146	HAUER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
YONG D. PAK	1652	

	I TONG B. I AIK	1 1002	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFF	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortl later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	* /		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropriate ginally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFB 41 37 must be	e filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	
		£	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC		ause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		aducing or cimplifying the	a iccupe for
appeal; and/or	itter form for appear by materially is	educing or simplifying the	3 155ue5 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	-	,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		1	,
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		. timely filed amendment	canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		, ,	3
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		rill be entered and an exp	olanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27,28,30-35,37,50 and 51.</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fails	
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	-		_1
10.	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached	a.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance	e because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Yong D Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art l	Jnit 1652	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims, if amended as proposed, would not avoid any of the rejections set forth in the last Office action, and thus the amendment would not place the case in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal. The proposed amendment still recites that limitation "monooxygenase has a mutation which consists of a functional mutation in one,k two or all of sequence positions 74, 87, and 188". As stated in the previous Office Action, the term "has" in transitional phrases does not create a presumption that the body of the claim is closed (See MPEP 2111.03). Therefore, the examiner has broadly interpreted the claims to encompass a mutant monooxygenase derived from SEQ ID NO:1 by mutation of the recited regions and any other mutations in any other positions. Applicants' arguments in regards to the rejections were addressed in the Final Office Action (mailed November 24, 2010) and the presently submitted assertions/arguements are not materially different from those previously submitted.